FULL TAX RECORDS FROM 2003 TO 2013 (ATTACHED/ REQUEST IT BE SEALED)



Finances

Gmail - Finances

Chet K <ckapur10@gmail.com>
To: Manju Kapur <manjukapur2001@yahoo.com>

Wed, May 27, 2015 at 3:56 PM

5/27/15, 3:57 PM

Will call you.

On Fri, May 1, 2015 at 2:07 AM, Manju Kapur <manjukapur2001@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Chetan,

Much as I would like to help you, I cannot anymore. I simply don't have the financial ability. Sorry.

Love,

Manju.

in No. 1 and the state of the

110 F5leschc 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 BENJAMIN SCHWARZ, et al., 3 3 Plaintiffs, 4 4 v. 09 CV 9346(PAE) 5 5 THINKSTRATEGY CAPITAL 6 MANAGEMENT, LLC, et al., 6 7 Defendants. 7 8 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE 8 COMMISSION, 9 9 Plaintiff, 10 10 v. 11 CV 8094 (PAE) 11 CHETAN KAPUR, et al., 11 12 12 Defendants. 13 13 14 May 21, 2015 15 9:35 a.m. 15 16 16 Before: 17 17 HON. PAUL A. ENGELMAYER, 18 18 District Judge 19 19 APPEARANCES 20 20 JASON SOLOTAROFF 21 Attorney for Plaintiffs 21 22 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 23 BY: MICHAEL J. ROESSNER 23 24 CHETAN KAPUR, Pro Se 24 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

111 F5leschc 1 (In open court) 2 THE COURT: Welcome back, counsel. 3 I'll note for the record that we have here the same 4 lawyers who were here yesterday. We have Mr. Roessner from the 5 SEC, Mr. Solotaroff and Mr. Kapur representing himself. 6 Where we left off yesterday, Mr. Kapur had given his 7 direct testimony as called by Mr. Roessner from the SEC. 8 Mr. Solotaroff had done a brief examination. And Mr. Kapur was 9 about to give his testimony on cross-examination; in effect, 10 his testimony on his own behalf. 11 So Mr. Kapur, let me call you back up to the witness 12 stand. 13 CHETAN KAPUR, resumed. 14 CROSS EXAMINATION 15 THE COURT: Mr. Kapur, I want to remind you that 16 you're still under oath. Do you understand that? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: And I'll ask you today, please speak 19 slowly, loudly and distinctly. You have all the time you need. 20 But it can be sometimes a little bit hard to hear if you're 21 speaking softly or quickly. So take your time. Go nice and 22 slow. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: And it would be my suggestion again to 25 you, so that all of us can understand clearly what it is you're

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

```
Kapur - cross
     testifying about, to use topic sentences. That will assist me
     F5leschc
     in understanding as you go through each portion of what you
1
2
     intend to say, what it refers to. Okay?
3
              THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Are you ready to proceed?
5
              THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
              I just also wanted to -- this is the full Plaintiff's
7
     Exhibit 7. Yesterday they submitted just a partial of
     Exhibit 7, and I was able this morning to print out one copy of
8
     the full Exhibit 7, which would be helpful for everybody.
9
              THE COURT: You said Plaintiff's Exhibit 7, meaning
10
11
      the SEC?
12
               THE WITNESS: SEC, yes.
               THE COURT: Let me see. Have you shown this to both
13
14
      of the lawyers this morning?
               THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. I showed it to both.
15
               THE COURT: Mr. Roessner, have you seen Plaintiff's
16
17
      Exhibit 7?
18
               MR. ROESSNER: Yes, your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Mr. Solotaroff, have you?
20
               MR. SOLOTAROFF: Yes, Judge.
               THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you. I will
21
      substitute, then, in my binder the document you've handed up as
22
23
      Plaintiff's Exhibit 7. Thank you.
24
               Mr. Kapur, with that, you may proceed.
25
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

Kapur - cross F5leschc

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, I would like to start the day by talking a little bit about -- or demonstrating clearly and unmistakably that payment towards the default judgment is impossible, or compliance with the monetary sanctions is impossible, based on my current financial status. I'd like to start by talking about how my company, ThinkStrategy Capital Management's funds and resources got depleted completely from the middle of 2008

through to the middle of 2011.

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

During that period our fund was put into liquidation, at which point we stopped receiving any fees from our core fund. And we paid for all the operating and infrastructure costs of the fund and the firm. Those costs for the fund and the firm included audit expenses, accounting expenses, administrator expenses, tax preparer expenses, legal expenses, leverage structure expenses, salaries, rent, Telecom and technology expenses, as well as research database expenses, among others. These expenses were paid by the firm at the expense to the investment manager, with no reimbursement from the fund, and depleted ThinkStrategy Capital Management's resources and placed me personally in debt.

Your Honor, I submit Exhibit -- Defense Exhibit 9 as part of this testimony, where --

THE COURT: Sorry. One moment. Your binder goes up through 8.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Kapur - cross F5leschc THE WITNESS: Then yesterday, your Honor, I submitted 1 Exhibit 9. 2 THE COURT: Yes. One moment. Okay. Very good. I 3 have it. THE WITNESS: In Exhibit 9 it shows a benefit to 5 investor analysis that was prepared independently by my former 6 attorney. It's been submitted prior on this case in this 7 matter. And I resubmit it now again as part of this testimony. 8 THE COURT: What was the context? Just help me 9 understand. What was the context in which this was prepared? 10 THE WITNESS: Sure, your Honor. This was prepared to 11 show -- it was a worst-case disgorgement scenario to be 12 presented to the Court, as was also presented to the SEC prior. 13 It accounted for in the worst-case scenario the fees of 14 ThinkStrategy Capital fund, any fees taken on funds that later 15 turned out to be bad, such as a FinVest and the Valhalla and 16 Victory funds. And on the positive side as a benefit to 17 investors, it accounted for all the unreimbursed out-of-pocket 18 expenses for investors from 5/2008 to 4/30/2011. 19 THE COURT: Before you proceed, let me just understand 20 a little bit about just the mechanics. When was this document 21 created? 22 THE WITNESS: This document was created in about 23 middle of 2011. 24 THE COURT: And the purpose of this document was to 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Kapur - cross F5leschc show that ThinkStrategy, the firm, did not have the money to 1 pay the judgments that were then being sought by the SEC and the Schwarzes? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. Thank you. And it was created, sorry, by whom again? THE WITNESS: It was created by Sandy Lieberman and 7 Vivian Drohan. THE COURT: Who is Mr. Lieberman? 9 THE WITNESS: He was my attorney who was working along 10 with Vivian Drohan as part of finalizing a settlement with the 11 SEC at the time. 12 THE COURT: Was he working at Ms. Drohan's law firm? 13 THE WITNESS: No. He had his own practice, and he was 14 more familiar with these matters than Ms. Drohan. And so he 15 took charge in terms of independently preparing this, along 16 with Ms. Drohan. 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. 19 In Section 3 it details with all backup all the 20 out-of-pocket expenses that one reimbursed to ThinkStrategy 21 Capital Management for the benefit of investors. In Section 5 22 it notes all the discounts provided by ThinkStrategy Capital 23 Management to the multistrategy fund post January 2008. And 24 then at the end, if you add up all the positives and benefits, 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

3 4

5

```
you get a net benefit to investors for very significant amount.
             I would note that -- and just qualify the fact --
             THE COURT: Show me where that is. Where is the net
             THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. If you would need to
    benefit to investors reflected?
    add up -- you would need to add up the numbers. So in Section
     1 you have 2434 -- 049. In Section 2 --
                                                       The second
              THE WITNESS: Sorry. Correct. 243047.
6
              THE COURT: 243047.
7
8
               THE WITNESS: And then the unreimbursed out-of-pocket
     section you have 1654033.
9
              THE COURT: Right.
      expenses are negative 2793 -- negative 2793697.48.
10
11
               THE WITNESS: Then in section four, the expenses that
12
      relate to the capital fund, as well as FinVest and Valhalla
13
      come to negative 1094947. And then in Section 5 the investor
14
15
                THE COURT: I take it the purpose, though, of this
       discounts come to negative 1008939.60.
 16
       presentation was in effect to show that net net, the investors
 17
       in the ThinkStrategy fund did better than was being portrayed?
 18
                THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. It was showing that
 19
        the ThinkStrategy Capital Management, you know, with its
 20
        out-of-pocket expenses and discounts, provided a very, very
  21
        significant benefit over the fees that one could possibly claim
  22
  23
                       SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
  24
                                   (212) 805-0300
  25
```

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

it didn't deserve to have. Though one could also argue, based on our offering memorandum, that we were entitled to management F5leschc and incentive fees and funds that later on went bad, as well as a legal precedent cases -- I believe I was told by my former attorney that even based on legal precedent cases, the management fees would be entitled and allowable, you know, for us to have taken, because we weren't aware of this fund being a That was only found out later on. And then we wrote the fund down immediately to zero.

THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: In spite of the fund having recoveries. And so this is a benefit to investor analysis that I submit -particularly, you know, for Section 3 and Section 5, to show how the fund's resources were completely depleted, and as well as to provide the discounts -- although these discounts, once again, I qualify are only post January 2008. It does not factor in the discounts preJanuary 2008.

Having submitted that analysis, I'd like to move on to note that any liquidator managing the fund at this point would have charged all these expenses to the funds, which was a major benefit to investors at the detriment of the investment manager. And during this period, during these three years, while we were covering all operating and infrastructure costs for the fund and the firm while receiving no fees, we provided detailed reports to investors about the underlying investments. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

We answered all investor questions about what was going on with underlying investments, the restructurings that were going on, the suspensions that were going on and everything that was generally going on in the markets impacting all the different strategies --Slowly and loudly.

THE WITNESS: As well as we tried to procure assets as soon as feasible from the underlying subfunds. We joined investor committees and we appointed advisers to oversee payouts from the underlying subfunds. We coordinated with all the service providers of the fund, the auditors, the administrators, the tax preparers for the benefit of investors, as well as we contacted each of the subfunds, as we regularly used to, in terms of risk, in terms of the liquidity, in terms of the outlook to get updates and relay these on to our investors. So we were providing full servicing to investors while receiving no fees and depleting our resources and paying for all the operating infrastructure costs that would otherwise have been charged by any liquidator to the fund itself.

By the end of 2011, the fund's resources were depleted. I was in debt. I did not have the resources even to defend against the claims brought by the SEC. My attorney at the time had mentioned that it would be exorbitantly expensive to defend against these claims. And the resulting impact was the fact that I had to agree to the terms of the settlement. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Kapur - cross In 2011, being in debt, I turned to my family for F5leschc assistance towards the end of 2011. Differing members of my family have loaned me funds since then. Your Honor, I submit 1 affidavits in Exhibit 3, 4 and 5, Defense Exhibits 3, 4 and 5 2 to demonstrate the source of funds, as well as affidavits in 3 other matters relating to this case. 5 THE COURT: One moment. Very good. Go ahead. 6 To be clear, Exhibit 3 is from Manju Kapur? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Who is that? 9 THE WITNESS: Manju Kapur is my mother. And she, along with my father, supported by my oldest brother Karan 10 Kapur, provided me loans in the amount of 538,659.67 from 11 12 13 July 12, 2012, to date. Your Honor, should I read these affidavits? 14 THE COURT: No. The affidavits are in evidence. If there's anything you want to elaborate on about them, you're 15 welcome to do so, but I've received -- and the parties have 16 agreed that they may be received -- I've received these in 17 evidence. I just want to make sure I understand who the people 18 19 20 So on Exhibit 3, Manju Kapur is your mother. are. 21 On Exhibit 4, the declarant is Bina Rai, who I've 22 previously received testimony from. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. She's my godmother. 24 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. 25 (212) 805-0300

120 Kapur - cross F5leschc THE COURT: She's your? 1 THE WITNESS: She's my godmother. 2 THE COURT: Right. And on Exhibit, 5 Kabir Kapur, who 3 4 is that? THE WITNESS: He's my older brother, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. 7 So those affidavits are submitted to demonstrate the 8 source of funds. I would note that each of these family 9 members that have loaned me money have provided -- or Manju 10 Kapur, Karan Kapur, as well as Bina Rai, have provided the bank 11 statements to the SEC. And as per my understanding, those are 12 fully consistent with the loan agreements and their support. 13 In aggregate, my family provided me loans for my living and 14 legal expenses. 15 I submit Exhibit 2 as the loan contracts with 16 differing members of my family. It was detailed in terms of 17 the loans, as well as appendices, that have details on the 18 installments on each of the loans. I note, your Honor, the 19 loan agreement from Naveen and Manju Kapur with their terms and 20 majority dates; a loan between Manju Kapur and Bina Rai; a loan 21 agreement between Chetan Kapur and Bina Rai; and the loan 22 agreement between Chetan Kapur and Kabir Kapur. 23 THE COURT: One moment. So one between you and 24 Ms. Rai, I just want to make sure I've got that right. As I 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

	F5leschc Kapur - cross
1	look through Exhibit 2, the first document is an agreement
2	between you, on the one hand, and Manju Kapur and Naveen Kapur
3	on the other hand, and then it has an appendix.
4	The second document dated February 25, 2014, is
5	between Bina Rai and Manju Kapur, in which Manju Kapur is
6	loaning some \$65,000 to Bina Rai. Correct?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: The third document is a loan agreement
9	dated September 10, 2011, between you and Bina Rai. In the
10	middle of the document is an appendix, although it looks like
11	the appendix is out of order, because the appendix sheet right
12	now is appearing between paragraph seven and paragraph eight.
13	Am I correct that the appendix ought to be on the next
14	page?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor, you're absolutely
16	correct.
17	THE COURT: And then the next document is an
18	installment loan agreement between you and Kabir Kapur dated
19	June 18, 2014, correct?
20	THE WITNESS: That is correct, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	THE WITNESS: I submit these documents, your Honor, to
23	demonstrate in evidence the loans that were provided to me to
24	support my living expenses.
25	I will also note that since November of 2013 my family
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
	(212) 805-0300

Kapur - cross F5leschc has refused to provide any moneys for any legal support to me. 1 THE COURT: Since when? 2 THE WITNESS: Since November of 2013. 3 THE COURT: When you say your family, do you mean all 4 of the people I've just referred to? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: So have you received any loans from 7 anybody since November 2013? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have, your Honor, but only for 9 personal expenses. They've not supported any legal expenses. 10 And as noted to the Court prior, my family makes payment 11 directly to the vendors and wants to approve and understand all 12 charges before they provide these loans. 13 Moving forward, your Honor, it's also come to my 14 understanding relatively recently through discussion with 15 different members of my family is the reason they've been 16 refusing me -- refusing to pay both for legal and personal 17 expenses and have only been supporting my personal expenses 18 since November of 2013 is because they're financially unable to 19 support both legal and personal expenses, and have also noted 20 to me that they are currently having extreme difficulty 21 supporting my personal expenses as well. 22 THE COURT: What are your current monthly personal 23 24 expenses? THE WITNESS: My rent and sundry expenses for 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

F5leschc Kapur - cross

transportation, food.

THE COURT: What is the sum total of your monthly living expenses?

THE WITNESS: Varies from month to month, but around 5,000 to 6,000 approximately.

THE COURT: And right now that is being provided by your family?

THE WITNESS: That's been provided by my family. It's specifically being provided by Manju Kapur and Naveen Kapur. And if your Honor looks at the loan agreements in the appendix, you'll note that they've been paying the rent with quite a significant delay, as well as the credit card expenses with quite a significant delay, right, since the end of 2014. So they have been having difficulty, you know, supporting the personal expenses, just, you know, you can see from the delay in which they are paying these expenses.

In the March 6 submission to the Court, which is Defense Exhibit 1, I had submitted detailed ledgers of all my living and other expenses, who paid those expenses, as well as other details. I submitted several hundred pages of financials of credit card ledgers, of rental ledgers, and all of these expenses are fully consistent with the loan agreements and the loan contracts and the loan contract appendices that have been provided by my family.

Your Honor, based on the above, I feel that it's clear SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.